

## Exercises for Computability and Complexity, Spring 2017, Sheet 6

Please return your solutions in the Thursday lecture on March 23.

**Exercise 1** Consider the set  $T$  of all single-tape TMs with tape alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1, \sqcup, \triangleright\}$ . Design a coding scheme by which every TM  $M$  in  $T$  becomes coded by a codeword  $\langle M \rangle \in \{0, 1, \#\}^*$ . Describe your coding scheme in formal notation and use it to encode the ultra-simple TM  $M$  with tape alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1, \sqcup, \triangleright\}$  and states  $\{s, yes, no\}$  that has the following transition table:

$p \in K$	$\sigma \in \Sigma$	$\delta(q, \sigma)$
$s$	0	(yes, 0, -)
$s$	1	( $s$ , 1, $\rightarrow$ )
$s$	$\sqcup$	(no, $\sqcup$ , -)
$s$	$\triangleright$	( $s$ , $\triangleright$ , $\rightarrow$ )

**Exercise 2 (rather easy)** Prove that  $H_2 = \{\langle M \rangle; x \mid Code(\langle M \rangle) \text{ and } Standard(x) \text{ and there exists some } y \text{ with } Standard(y) \text{ such that } M(x) = y\}$  from Proposition 6.3 is undecidable.

**Exercise 3 (medium difficult)** Show that the language

$$L = \{\langle M \rangle \in \{0, 1, \#\}^* \mid M \text{ halts on no input}\}$$

is not recursively enumerable. *Hint: in addition to a reduction argument, you might wish to also work in Proposition 3.1 from the lecture notes.*