

## Exercises for FFL, Fall 2016, sheet 9

Return Thursday Nov 10, in class.

### Exercise 1.

Let  $S = \{<\}$ , where  $<$  is a binary relation symbol. Characterize in words the class of all  $S$ -structures  $\mathcal{A}$  which are models of

$$\varphi = \forall x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 (((\neg x_1 = x_2 \wedge \neg x_2 = x_3) \wedge \neg x_1 = x_3) \wedge < x_1 x_2) \wedge < x_2 x_3) \rightarrow \neg < x_3 x_1)$$

and give two concrete  $S$ -structures, one of which is a model of  $\varphi$  and the other isn't. Present your structures (i) in an intuitive graph-like representation, (ii) formally as sets.

**Exercise 2.** Let  $S = \{\text{father-of, Tom, Anne}\}$ , where father-of is a unary function symbol and Tom, Anne are constant symbols. Give a concrete  $S$ -structure which is *not* a model of the  $S$ -expression "father-of Anne = Tom". And give a concrete  $S$ -structure which *is* a model of the  $S$ -expression "father-of Tom = Anne".

**Exercise 3.** You know that a binary relation is called an *equivalence relation* if it is (i) reflexive, (ii) symmetric, and (iii) transitive. Let  $S = \{\equiv\}$ , where  $\equiv$  is a binary relation symbol. Give an  $S$ -expression  $\varphi$  such that in any  $S$ -structure  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \equiv^{\mathcal{A}})$ , which is a model of  $\varphi$ ,  $\equiv^{\mathcal{A}}$  is an equivalence relation on  $A$ .