

## Machine Learning (lecture) Fall 2014: Exercise sheet 7

*Return your results on paper in the Friday class on November 28. Please typeset and print or write legibly (we will downgrade for illegible handwriting).*

*You may team up in groups of two.*

*This sheet is rather lightweight. The problems essentially are practising exercises to get familiar with a clean probability notation. I invite you to invest the saved time in the optional "EM-digits" project which is announced separately, and which is awarded with course bonus points.*

**Problem 1 (25 points)** The statement and derivation of the EM principle in the lecture notes (Section 10, downloadable as standalone pdf at the course homepage) was formulated in a version based on continuous-valued random variables, using pdfs. Your task: reformulate the essential parts of the EM principle for the case of discrete-valued random variables (which take values in finite observation spaces  $E$ ). Concretely, give discrete-probability versions of equations 10.28 – 10.30, 10.34, 10.36. Assume that the hidden variable  $X$  take values in a finite space  $H = \{h_1, \dots, h_K\}$  and the observable variables  $Y$  in a space  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_L\}$ . Note that  $X$  and  $A$  usually are cross products of many random variables, and consequentially the spaces  $H$  and  $A$  are product spaces of very large (but finite) cardinality. The training data  $D$  is a single point in  $A$ ! Be detailed when you write probability terms, always using the full-length notation  $P(X = h, \dots)$ .

**Problem 2 (25 points)** Prove equation (10.19) in the lecture notes chapter 10 (referring to the version posted on the course homepage).

**Problem 3 (50 points)** The lecture notes in Section 10.7 give a procedure to compute  $LL_{true} = \log P(D | \theta_{true})$ , described after equation (10.45). Give a proof that this procedure actually does what it is supposed to do, namely, return  $LL_{true}$ .